| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/707,201 | COULIBALY ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Laura Edwards | 1734 |
| All Participants: | Status of Applicati | on: <u>Pending</u> |
| (1) <u>Laura Edwards</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Voicemail message- Leonard Lewis. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 29 August 2005 | Time: <u>DAY</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Xes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | · |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE | | |
| Claims discussed: All in general | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: NONE | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Of Acknowledged the receipt and approval of the terminal diswould be applied in a rejection to all claims. The new rejection | sclaimers as of June 2005 and Ju | lly 2005. However newly found prior art |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide and the provide an | on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | - | |
| | - | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | plicant/Applicant's Representa | ative Signature – if appropriate) |